

Rating sheets missing a required element will be returned to the panelist for completion.

NSF Graduate Research Fellowship Program
APPLICANT RATING SHEET

Applicant: Smith, Rachel

Panel: Environmental Life Sciences

Intellectual Merit Criterion

Demonstrated intellectual ability and other accepted requisites for scholarly scientific study, such as the ability (1) to plan and conduct research; (2) to work as a member of a team as well as independently; and (3) to interpret and communicate research findings.

Overall Assessment of Intellectual Merit Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor

Mandatory - explain assessment to the applicant:

This proposal is well-^{ei}conceived, integrates locals, and promises to have a real impact. What isn't as clear as it could be is more specifics on the actual design, the data, and the analyses that might be brought to this study. For ex., how will your imagery data be analyzed?

Broader Impacts Criterion

Contributions that (1) effectively integrate research and education at all levels, infuse learning with the excitement of discovery, and assure that the findings and methods of research are communicated in a broad context and to a large audience; (2) encourage diversity, broaden opportunities, and enable the participation of all citizens--women and men, underrepresented minorities, and persons with disabilities--in science and research; (3) enhance scientific and technical understanding; and (4) benefit society.

Overall Assessment of Broader Impacts Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor

Mandatory - explain assessment to the applicant:

You demonstrated a real commitment to broader impacts and have good experiences in this regard, my only recommendation would be to further explain how you translate these into ^{new} actions/activities in the fellowship.